

|   |                                      |                                      |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/759,931 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>ANDERS ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>AMEE A. SHAH      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3625              |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) AMEE A. SHAH.

(2) DAVID MIMS.

**Status of Application:** after appeal

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 March 2010

**Time:** 2:20 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*pending 101 rejection*

Claims discussed:

*4, 9*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Mims agreed to examiner's suggested amendments of claim 4 to tie in a machine to significant steps of the method to pass the Bilski machine or transformation test and make the claims patent-eligible under 101. Claim 9 depends from claim 4 and thus required no amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)